

# ● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : <u>D9/644793</u>	Examiner : <u>CA</u>	GAU : <u>3627</u>
From : <u>CP</u>	Location : <u>IDC</u> FMF FDC	Date : <u>1-13-05</u>
Tracking # : <u>6045857</u>		Week Date : <u>11-29-04</u>

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input type="checkbox"/> CLM	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input type="checkbox"/> IIFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 312	<u>12-7-01</u>	
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: In the remark section of Amndt dated 12-7-01,  
there is amended specification pages. Are there formal changes?  
Please Advise. Thank You.

(CA)

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: Yes, the changes submitted, 12/14/04,  
are in response to the office "Notice of Drawing Inconsistency  
with specification", mailed 12/9/04, and the changes are  
formal. The examiner is sending applicant a notice that  
the amendment has been received and entered (Examiner is  
treating the amendment like a 312 amendment.)

**INITIALS:**

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.  
 REV 10/04